



WWW.AZCLIMATECHANGE.US

**SUMMARY OF TELECONFERENCE MEETING #1
CROSS CUTTING ISSUES TECHNICAL WORKING GROUP
February 24, 2006**

Attendance:

1. Workgroup members:

Sandy Bahr – Sierra Club
Roger Clark – Grand Canyon Trust
Rob Elliot – Arizona Raft Adventures
Kirsten Engel – University of Arizona Law School
CV Mathei (for Ed Fox) – Pinnacle West/APS
Ursula Kramer – Pima County Dept of Environmental Quality
Tim Mohin – Intel Corporation
Karen O'Regan – City of Phoenix
Steve Owens – Arizona Dept of Environmental Quality
Jeff Schlegel – Southwest Energy Efficiency Project
Penny Allee Taylor – Southwest Gas Corporation

2. ADEQ Staff: Kurt Maurer and Marnie Greenbie

3. Center for Climate Strategies (CCS): Ken Colburn and Tom Peterson

4. Other Attendees: Gaye Knight, City of Phoenix; Brian O'Donnell, Southwest Gas

Background documents:

(all posted at <http://www.azclimatechange.us/documents.cfm>, click on Cross-Cutting Issues)

1. Agenda
2. Powerpoint presentation for meeting

Discussion items and key issues:

1. This was the initial conference call of the Cross Cutting Issues TWG.

2. Tom Peterson and Ken Colburn of CCS explained that the CC TWG will be examining four particular topics: reporting, registries, targets and timelines, and education. Other areas may be added from other TWGs depending on need. Whereas those work groups are focused on particular options within specific sectors (energy, residential/commercial/industrial use, transportation and land use, agriculture and forestry), this work group will discuss and develop recommendations that cut across all sectors and may have relevant applicability to all sectors.
3. Peterson and Colburn provided some high level descriptions for each topic (reporting, registries, targets and timelines, and education).
 - a. Kirsten Engel asked how these topics and any recommendations that result will be handled in the final report. Peterson said that the recommendations will be presented like any others. The final report, he said, is a collection of individual recommendations.
4. In relation to reporting and registries, Steve Owens noted that Arizona law provides an emissions bank for criteria pollutants to enable participating companies to get credit for mitigation efforts.
5. Peterson led members through a discussion about how to approach the topics, either sequentially or on parallel tracks. Members felt it is important to operate on parallel tracks in the interest of time and to meet the TWG's commitments to the CCAG. They agreed that targets and timelines, reporting and registries should be a priority.
6. Sandy Bahr asked if there are particular action plans from other states that could be relevant examples. Peterson noted Connecticut's, and Owens mentioned California's draft report. Links to several state and regional plans are available on the CCAG Web site at <http://www.azclimatechange.us/background-policy.cfm#policystateregional> Peterson agreed to get background materials together and make available to TWG members.

Next steps:

1. The next CC TWG call will be Friday, March 3, from 1 p.m. to 2:30 p.m. The focus of the discussion will be to learn how other states have approached setting targets and timelines. Depending on available time, CCS will lead discussion of how other states are handling reporting and registries.
2. Members discussed a tentative date for a third CC TWG call on March 10, from 1 p.m. to 2:30 p.m. Members will confirm this call on March 3.
3. The CCAG will hold its fourth meeting on March 17, 2006, at Salt River Project headquarters, 1521 N. Project Drive, Tempe from 9:30 a.m. to 4 p.m.